## **REMARKS**

By this Amendment, Applicant amends claims 1, 13, and 17-20. Claims 1-20 remain currently pending.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated allowable subject matter in claims 1-16. The Examiner objected to claims 2-12 and 14-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, rejected claims 1, 13, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite; and rejected claims 17-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory matter. Applicant thanks the Examiner for pointing out the allowable subject matter in claims 1-16.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 1, 13, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Examiner alleges that "it is confusing to read if it is to transmit a data that contains a first identification information and a command." (Office Action at 3.) Applicant respectfully disagrees. However, to expedite the prosecution of this application, Applicant has amended claims 1 to recite "transmit one of data and a command, the data having first identification information, the command having second identification information," and amended claims 13 and 17 to recite "transmitting one of data and a command, the data having first identification information, the command having second identification information," to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Section 112 rejection of claims 1, 13, and 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicant declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 17-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory matter. However, to expedite the prosecution of the prosecution of this application, Applicant has amended independent claim 17 to recite "computer readable storage medium storing instructions of a computer program which when executed by a computer system results in performance of steps." "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized." M.P.E.P. § 2106.IV.B.1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's objection to claims 2-12 and 14-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. However, as set forth above, independent claims 1 and 13, as amended, are allowable. Therefore, the objection to claims 2-12 and 14-16 should also be withdrawn.

withdrawal of the Section 101 rejection of claims 17-20.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: April 12, 2007

Wenye Tan

Reg. No. 55,662